	;	ATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SEP 3 0 2011
UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA,	CASE NO. 11CR4 4-MMA
	Plaintiff,	
vs		JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL
NICOLAS DE LA TO	RRE (02),	
	Defendant.	
IT APPEARING that the defendant is now entitled to be discharged for the reason that: an indictment has been filed in another case against the defendant and the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal of this case, without prejudice; or the Court has dismissed the case for unnecessary delay; or		
X the Court ha	s granted the m	motion of the Government for dismissal; or
the Court has granted the motion of the defendant for a judgment of acquittal; or		
a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not guilty; or		
the jury has	returned its	verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;
of the offer	se(s) as charge	ed in the Indictment/Information:
	i	

IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby discharged.

DATED: 9/29/2011

MICHAEL M. ANELLO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE